## $[4 + 2]$  and  $[3 + 2]$  Cycloaddition Reactions of **2',3'-Dideoxy-3'-nitr0-2',3'-didehydrothymidine with Ethyl Vinyl Ether**

Alexander Papchikhin, Peter Agback, Janez Plavec, and Jyoti Chattopadhyaya'

*Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Box 581, Biomedical Center, University of Uppsala, Sweden* 

*Received November 30, 1992* 

Cycloaddition reaction of 1-(5'-O-(monomethoxytrityl)-2',3'-dideoxy-3'-nitro-*ß-D-glycero-pent-2'*enofuranosy1)thymine **(la)** with ethyl vinyl ether gave a diastereomeric mixture of [3.4.0lsugar-fused bicyclic **(2a)** (27%) and **(3)** (12%) and the tricyclic **(4a)** (47%) derivatives. **An** identical reaction with  $(2',3'-dideoxy-3'-nitro- $\beta$ - $D-glycero$ -pent-2'-enofuranosyl)thymine (1b) gave only bicycle?2b (40%)$ and tricyclic **4b** (43%) in a stereospecific manner. Chemical evidence has been presented which suggests that only one of the diastereomeric nitronates (i.e., **2a)** formed **as** a result of [4 + 21 addition reaction across a  $4\pi$  heterodiene system undergoes the second  $[3 + 2]$  cycloaddition reaction across ita nitronate function by a second molecule of the reagent to give the tricyclic product **4a.** The configurations of new chiral centers have been assigned **as** C2'-R/C8-R in **2a** and **2b,** C2'-R/C8-S in **3,** and C2'-R/C3'-S/CS-R/Cl2-S in **4a** and **4b** by detailed 500-MHz NMR studies. Detailed analysis of **3&H** coupling constants at *500* MHz and estimation of interproton distances by 1D lH difference NOE and the NOESY spectra have enabled us to define the conformation of novel thymidine derivatives **2b, 3, and 4b:** (i) The pentose sugars in **2b** and 3 are 2'-endo-3'-exo  $(P = 142^{\circ}, \Psi_m = 34^{\circ}$  for **2b** and  $P = 140^{\circ}$ ,  $\Psi_{\rm m} = 33^{\circ}$  for 3), and the constituent six-membered ring are in the half-chair/half-boat conformation. (ii) For tricyclic nucleoside **4b,** the pentose sugar moiety adopts 2'-endo conformation ( $P = 161^\circ$ ,  $\Psi_m = 40^\circ$ ) with the fused six-membered ring in <sup>09</sup>C<sub>C2</sub>' conformation, whereas the conformation of its fused-spiro isoxazolidine ring can be described by  $P = 188^\circ$ ,  $\Psi_m = 40^\circ$ .

Nitroolefinal possess unique chemical reactivity toward both nucleophilic and cycloaddition reactions because of their highly reactive double bond. These facile reactions and the free-radical induced denitration reactions<sup>2</sup> have prompted us to use nitroolefins3 **(la** and **lb) as** attractive general intermediates<sup>3</sup> for the preparation of various 2<sup>'</sup>and 3'-modified nucleoside analogues intended **as** potential anti-HIV agents. Although Michael-type addition reactions can be performed easily with 2',3'-unsaturated nucleosides in which the sugar double bond is conjugated with electron-withdrawing groups<sup>4-7</sup> (CN,  $PhSO_2$ ,  $PhSeO_2$ , etc.), but their cycloaddition reactions<sup>7</sup> are generally very sluggish, taking several days to weeks for completion. In contrast, the cycloaddition reactions of the heteroatomcontaining  $\pi$  system, as in a nitroolefin,<sup>8-10</sup> take place in a facile manner in simple alicyclic or carbocyclic systems. These cycloaddition reactions have been shown to be a powerful means $8-10$  to access new heterocycles which can, under appropriate conditions, produce acyclic functional subunits on the carbon framework of the parent compound.

- **(4)** Wu, J.-C.; Pathak, T.; Tong, W.; Vial, J.-M.; Remaud, G.; J. *Nucleosides Nucleotides,* in press. Chattopadhyaya, J. *Tetrahedron* **1988,44, 6705.** 
	-
	- (5) Wu, J.-Č.; Chattopadhyaya, J. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 855.<br>(6) Wu, J.-C.; Chattopadhyaya, J. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 4507.<br>(7) Tong, W.; Wu, J.-C.; Sandström, A.; Chattopadhyaya, J. *Tetra-*

*hedron* **1990,46,3037.** 

- **(8)** Grigg, R.; Markandu, J.; Perrior, T.; Surendrakumar, S.; Warnock, W. J. *Tetrahedron* **1992,48, 6929.**
- (9)Denmark, S. E.; Moon, Y.-C.; Cramer, C. J.; Dappen, M. S.; Senanayke, C. B. W. *Tetrahedron* **1990,46, 7373.**
- **(10)** Bbkvall. J. E.: Karlsson. U.: Chinchilla, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.*  **1991,32, 5607.**

These facile cycloaddition reactions in nucleoside chemistry involving the pentose sugar residue were heretofore Unknown.

We herein report the cycloaddition reaction of (3'-nitro-2',3'-dideoxy-β-D-*glycero-*pent-2'-enofuranosyl)nucleosides3 **la** or **lb** with ethyl vinyl ether (Scheme I). The reaction of 5'-protected nitroolefin **la** with ethyl vinyl ether in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at  $\sim$  20 °C for 24 h gave a diastereomeric mixture of two pentose sugar [3.4.0]-fused bicyclic nucleosides **2a**  (27 % ) and 3 (12 % ) and a tricyclic **(4a)** (47 % ) nucleoside. Clearly, bicyclic products **2a** and 3 are formed **as** a result of  $[4 + 2]$  addition reaction across a  $4\pi$  heterodiene by an activated dienophile<sup>9,10</sup> and then undergo the second [3  $+ 2$ ] cycloaddition reaction<sup>8</sup> across the nitronate function by a second molecule of ethyl vinyl ether to give the tricyclic product **4a** in which four new chiral centers are formed simultaneously in one step. In order to confirm this, we have attempted to perform cycloaddition reactions with pure nitronates **2a** or 3 with ethyl vinyl ether separately. To our surprise, only one of the diastereomeric nitronates (i.e., **2a)** reacted with ethyl vinyl ether to give the tricyclic nucleoside **4a** in 89 *7%* yield. This means that the stereoelectronic properties of the two nitronates **2a** and 3 that dictate the formation of the transition state with ethyl vinyl ether in the cycloaddition reaction are clearly different. We have subsequently performed the reaction of **5'-hydroxy-3'-nitroolefin lb** with ethyl vinyl ether in ethanol (at  $\sim$  20 °C for 24 h) to give only bicyclic nucleoside **2b (40%** ) and the tricyclic nucleoside **4b** (43 % **1.** The ratio of bicyclic **(2b)** and tricyclic **(4b)** nucleosides formed in the latter reaction was dependent upon the reaction period suggesting that **4b** is presumably formed through **2b.** The fact that the reaction of pure **2b** with ethyl vinyl ether in ethanol at  $\sim$  20 °C for 48 h gave only 4b in a high yield  $(76\%)$  also proved, in conjunction with NMR evidence, that the chiralities at  $C_2$  and  $C_8$  in both 2b and 4b are identical. The structures of **2a, 2b, 3,** and **4a,b** have been

**<sup>(1)</sup>** Barrett, A. G.; Graboeki, G. *G. Chem. Rev.* **1986,86,751.** 

**<sup>(2)</sup>** Kornblum, N. *Aldrichim. Acta* **1990,23, 71.** 

**<sup>(3)</sup>** Hussain, N.; Papchikhin, A.; Garg, N.; Federov, I.; Chattopadhyaya,

**<sup>(11)</sup>** Haasnoot, C. A. G.; de Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; de Leeuw, H. P. M.; Altona, C. Recl. *Trau. Chim. Pavs-bas* **1979.98.576.** Limitine values for  $J_{4'5'}$  and  $J_{4'5''}$  in the staggered  $\text{C4}'-\text{C5}'$  rotamers are as follows:  $\gamma^+$ ,  $J_{4'5'}$  = 2.4 Hz,  $J_{4'5''}$  = 1.3 Hz. Rotamer  $\gamma^+$ :  $J_{4'5'}$  = 2.6 Hz,  $J_{4'5''}$  = 10.5 Hz. Rotamer  $\gamma^-$ :  $J_{4'5'}$  = 10.6 Hz,  $J_{$ 



9:  $R_1 = H$ ;  $R_2 = CHO$ <br>**10**:  $R_1 = H$ ;  $R_2 = CH_2OH$ <br>**11:**  $R_1 = Ac$ ;  $R_2 = CH_2OAc$ 

confirmed by detailed spectroscopic studies. The diastereomeric nature of **2a** and 3 has been further confirmed by converting each of the pure diastereomers independently to an identical diastereomeric mixture of 2'-Cbranched acetates **7/8** (2:l) through acidic hydrolysis, dently to an identical diastereomeric mixture of 2<sup>-</sup>C-<br>branched acetates  $7/8$  (2:1) through acidic hydrolysis,<br>reduction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> followed by acetylation (2a or 3  $\rightarrow$ <br> $\frac{5}{2}$ ,  $\frac{5}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac$ **Fraulter 17.6 Figure 1.1 Figure 1.5 5**  $\rightarrow$  **6**  $\rightarrow$  **7**/8). In an identical manner, the chemical proof for the structure of tricyclic nucleoside **Pa** was corroborated by ita conversion to the diastereomeric mixture of 2',3' bis-C-branched acetate **11** by the sequential acidic hyby its conversion to the diastereomeric mixture of  $2^7,3^2$ -<br>bis-C-branched acetate 11 by the sequential acidic hy-<br>drolysis, reduction, and acetylation  $(4a \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 11)$ <br> $(6\text{hence } I)$ (Scheme I).

Detailed conformational studies on **2a, 2b,** 3, and **4a,b**  by 500-MHZ NMR have been performed on the basis of all  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  coupling constants and distances derived using NOESY spectra (two-proton approximation), which also provided evidence for the assigned configurations at the newly generated chiral centers (vide infra).

**Assignment of Configurations and Conformation of Tricyclic Nucleoside 4b.** The observed  ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}}$  coupling constants were translated into the respective torsion angles **(@H,H)** using the Karplus-Altona equation **(11,** which

$$
{}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = P_{1} \cos^{2} \Phi_{\text{H,H}} + P_{2} \cos \Phi_{\text{H,H}} + P_{3} + \sum_{P_{5} \cos^{2}(\chi\varphi + P_{6}|\Delta\chi i)|_{1}^{2} ... (1)}
$$

includes a correction term to take into account the influence of the electronegativities of various substituents.<sup>12,13</sup>  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}}$  is a proton-proton torsion angle,  $\Delta \chi i$  is a difference in Huggins electronegativity between the substituent and hydrogen, and  $\zeta_i$  denotes the orientation of the substituent relative to the coupling protons in the HCCH fragment. The parameters  $P_1-P_6$  were determined empirically with a large coupling constant data set. $12,13$ 

The  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}}$  for 4b derived from  ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}}$  presented in the footnotes of Table I enabled us to build structural models of compound **4b.** The generalized AMBER force field parameters **as** implemented in the computer program MacroModel V3.5a were used.16 Energy minimizations with constraints on  $\Phi_{H,H}$  were used (Table I and footnotes) to build structures15J6 (Table 11) that fulfill **all** torsion angles<sup>16</sup> derived from NMR-derived  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  values. The  $\Phi_{1,2}$  in Table II show that the sugar ring is in the South conformation.<sup>13,14</sup> There are four  $\Phi_{2',7'}$  values that resulted from Karplus-Altona equation  $(1),$ <sup>12,13</sup> but only the value of  $\Phi_{2'}.7' = 42^{\circ}$  is mutually consistent with the value of  $\Phi_{2'}.7''$  $= 280^\circ$ , and such a conformer fulfills NOE-derived distance information (H<sub>1'</sub> is spatially closer to H<sub>7"</sub> than to H<sub>7</sub>). Assuming the  $R$  configuration at  $C_8$ , the consistent values for @7',8 and *@y,8* are 313' and *86',* respectively. Two computer models of 4b with  $C_8-R$  configuration were constructed (conformers 1 and 2 in Table 11), and both of them fulfill the five torsion angles ( $\Phi_{1'2'}$ ,  $\Phi_{2'7'}$ ,  $\Phi_{2'7''}$ ,  $\Phi_{7'8}$ , Conformers 1 and 2 of **4b** (Table 11) differ slightly in the geometry of the sugar ring as assessed by their  $\Phi_{1/2}$  values. In both conformers 1 and 2, the sugar ring is locked in a C2'-endo conformation [phase angle of pseudorotation *(P)*   $= 161^{\circ}$ , the puckering amplitude  $(\Psi_{\rm m}) = 40^{\circ}$  in conformer 1, and  $P = 151^\circ$  and  $\Psi_m = 45^\circ$  (Table II) in conformer 2]. In both conformers the six-membered ring adopts  ${}^{09}C_{C2'}$ chair conformation. With the assumption of  $C_8-S$  con- $\Phi_{7'',8}$ ) obtained through analysis of  ${}^3J_{\text{H,H}}$  coupling constants.

**<sup>(12)</sup>** Haasnoot, C. **A.** G.; de Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; de Leeuw, H. P. M.; Altona, *C. Org. Magn. Reson.* **1981,15, 43.** 

<sup>(13)</sup> Haasnoot, C. A. G.; de Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; Altona, C. *Tetrahedron*  **1980,36,2783.** 

<sup>(14)</sup> Altona, C.; Sundaralingam, M. J. Am. Chem. SOC. **1972,94,8205; 1973,95,2333.** 

<sup>(15)</sup> Mohamadi, F.; Richards, N. G. J.; Guide, W. C.; Liskamp, R.; Lipton, M.; Caufield, C.; Chang, G.; Henderickson, T.; Still, W. C. J. Comput. Chem. **1990**, 11, 440.

Computer. Cream. To superintal coupling constants were translated by eq 1 into<br>torsion angles, which were the basis for defining torsional constraints<br>used in the building of the computer models of 2b, 3, and 4b (see Table I). The estimated error in the experimental value of  ${}^3J_{HH}$  of  $\pm 0.1$  Hz was used to determine the flat region of the torsion angles ( $\pm 2^{\circ}$ ). Note that inside this region (see footnote to Table I) no energy penalty is paid, while the constraint energy outside this allowed region is calculated by  $E = V_1[1 - \cos$  (deviation)], where  $V_1$  is a force constant (1000 kJ/moi-rad), in accordance with AMBER force-field parameters in MacroModel 3.5. No evaluation of energies of the minimized conformers **was** attempted due to the absence of high-quality force constants, especially for **C=N+O**fragmenta in **2b** and 3.



**Figure 1.** (a) 1D <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of 3 recorded at 298 K in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at *500* **MHz.** (b) **1D** NOE difference spectrum of 3 upon irradiation of **Hl'.** The observed NOE between **H1'** and **H7"**  leads to the assignment of **H7'** and **H7"** in 3. (c) **1D lH** spectrum of **2a** recorded at **298** K in CDC13 at *500* **MHz.** (d) **1D NOE**  difference spectrum of **2a** upon irradiation of **Hl'.** The observed NOE between **H1'** and **H7"** leads to the assignment of **H7'** and **H7"** in **2a.** 

figuration (i.e.,  $-\text{OEt}$  "up"), mutually consistent values for  $\Phi_{1',2'}$ ,  $\Phi_{2',7'}$ ,  $\Phi_{2',7''}$ ,  $\Phi_{7',8}$ , and  $\Phi_{7'',8}$  are possible that would fulfill all the information derived from  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  (isomer 4; Table II). In isomer 4, however,  $d_{7'13''} = 3.1$  Å, which is not in agreement with the NOE-derived distance of 2.3 **A.**  Note that in both conformers 1 and 2 with  $C_8$ -R configuration (i.e.,  $-$ OEt "down"),  $d_{7',13''}$  = 2.5 Å, which is in close agreement with the experimental data (Table 11).

The experimentally determined distance,  $d_{7,13''} = 2.3$ A, also enables the determination of the configuration at  $C_{12}$ . In the model of compound 4b with  $C_{12}$ -S configuration  $d_{7/13''}$  = 2.5 Å (conformers 1 and 2), whereas in the compound with  $C_{12}$ -R configuration  $d_{7'13''} = 4.2$  Å (isomer 3 in Table II). Note that  $H_{7}$  is in closer spatial proximity to  $H_{13}$  than to  $H_{13}$ , while  $H_{5}$  is closer to  $H_{13}$  than to  $H_{13}$ in conformers 1 and 2  $(C_{12}-S)$ , which was also observed in the NOESY experiment (Table 11).

In compound 4b, there are two more chiral centers that were formed in the cycloaddition reaction. The configuration at  $C_{2'}$  is determined through the observation of  ${}^3J_{1',2'} = 9.6$  Hz, which can only be a transoid coupling, and thus the configuration at  $C_{2'}$  should be  $R$  (i.e., H2' 'up'). The largest  ${}^3J_{1'2'}$  which is possible in cisoid orientation (i.e.,  $C_2-S$  configuration with H2' down) was found by using the Karplus-Altona equation (1)<sup>12,13</sup> with values of electronegativities of substituents found around the H1'C1'C2'H2' fragment at  $\Phi_{H,H} = 0^{\circ}$  is 8.1 Hz, which is 1.5 Hz less than what was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Table I).

The configuration at  $C_3$  in 4b was examined by building the model with  $C_{2}-R$ ,  $C_{3}-R$  (i.e.,  $N_{10}$  would be "up"),  $C_{8}-S$ 

Table I.  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  Coupling Constant (Error  $\pm$  0.1 Hz) of Cycloaddition Products **2e-4b** at **500 MHz** in CDC13 at **25** *Oca* 

coupling constant	2а	2b	3	4a	4b
$J_{1',2'}$	8.2	8.6	8.5	9.4	9.6
$J_{2',4'}$	2.6	$2.2\,$	1.4		
$J_{2^{\prime}.7^{\prime}}$	10.2	10.3	6.3	5.5	5.9
$J_{2^{\prime}.7^{\prime\prime}}$	$3.2\,$	3.5	13.1	1.1	1.1
$J_{7',7''}$	14.5	14.7	13.1	14.8	15.0
$J_{\rm 7^{\prime}.8}$	4.8	4.9	2.2	4,5	4.5
$J_{7^{\prime\prime},8}$	0.8	1.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
$J_{4^{\prime}.5^{\prime}}$	$1.8\phantom{0}$	1.8	1.7	2.9	1.8
$J_{4',5''}$	$2.2\,$	$2.2\,$	1.5	1.5	2.2
$J_{5'}.$	10.9	12.3	10.6	11.3	10.9
$J_{12,13'}$				0.0	0.0
$J_{12,13''}$				6.5	6.5
$J_{13',13''}$				13.3	13.6

<sup>a</sup> For bicyclic nucleoside 2b, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> can be translated into the following possible dihedral angles  $[\Phi_{H,H}]$  using the Karplus equation **(1):** (1)  $J_{1,2'} = 8.6$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 155^{\circ}$  and  $199^{\circ}$ ; (2)  $J_{2',7'} = 10.3$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 6^{\circ}$ , 155°, 206°, and 355°; (3)  $J_{2',7''} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$  gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}}$  $= 56^{\circ}, 116^{\circ}, 243^{\circ}, \text{and } 302^{\circ}; (4) J_{7,8} = 4.9 \text{ Hz gives } \Phi_{H,H} = 43^{\circ}, 125^{\circ},$ 233°, and 315°; (5)  $J_{7'',8} = 1.5$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 80^{\circ}$ , 95°, 266°, and 283<sup>°</sup>. For bicyclic nucleoside 3,  ${}^{3}J_{HH}$  can be translated into the following possible dihedral angles  $[\Phi_{H,H}]$  using the Karplus equation (1): (1)  $J_{1/2'} = 8.5$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{H,H} = 154^{\circ}$  and  $200^{\circ}$ ; (2)  $J_{2'/i'} = 6.3$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 39^{\circ}$ , 131°, 227°, and 319°; (3)  $J_{2'7''} = 13.1 \text{ Hz}$  indicates perfect trans coupling with  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 180^{\circ}$ ; **(4)**  $J_{\gamma,8} = 2.2$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}}$  $= 69^{\circ}, 106^{\circ}, 256^{\circ}, \text{ and } 294^{\circ}; (5) J_{7'',8} = 2.5 \text{ Hz gives following } \Phi_{H,H}$ = 63°, 108°, 251°, and 295°. For tricyclic nucleoside **4b**, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> can be translated into the following possible dihedral angles [ $\Phi_{H,H}$ ] using be translated into the following possible dihedral angles  $[\Phi_{H,H}]$  using the Karplus equation (1): (1)  $J_{1'/2} = 9.6$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{H,H} = 165^{\circ}$  and **188°; (2)**  $J_{2,7} = 1.1$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 42^{\circ}, 130^{\circ}, 229^{\circ}, \text{and } 317^{\circ};$  (3)  $J_{2',7''} = 5.9$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 82^{\circ}, 94^{\circ}, 267^{\circ}, \text{ and } 280^{\circ};$  (4)  $J_{7',8} = 4.5$ Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 48^{\circ}$ , 125°, 237°, and 313°; (5)  $J_{7'',8} = 0.0$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 86^{\circ}$  and 272°; (6)  $J_{12,13'} = 0.0$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 88^{\circ}$  and 274°;  $(7)$   $J_{12,13''} = 6.5$  Hz gives  $\Phi_{\text{H,H}} = 31^{\circ}, 136^{\circ}, 222^{\circ}, \text{and } 327^{\circ}.$ 

and C<sub>12</sub>-R configurations (isomer 5 in Table II). The isomer 5 presented in Table II does not fulfill  $d_{7',13''} = 2.3$  Å and  $d_{5'',13'} = 2.4$  Å found by NOE measurements (in the model of isomer 5,  $d_{7',13''} = 4.0$  Å and  $d_{5'',13'} = 4.3$  Å). Note, however, that the models with  $C_{3}-S$  (and  $C_{2}-R$ ,  $C_{8}-R$ ,  $C_{12}-$ S) configuration (i.e.,  $N_{10}$  "down") fulfil all  $J$  and NOE data (conformers 1 and 2, Table 11, Figure 2). The populations of the  $\gamma^+$  rotamer across C4'-C5' was calculated from  ${}^3J_{4',5'}$  and  ${}^3J_{4',5''}$ . The observed  ${}^3J_{4',5'}$  and  ${}^3J_{4',5''}$ are weighted time-averaged coupling constanta related to the couplings of individual conformers  $(\gamma^+, \gamma^t \text{ and } \gamma^-)$  and their respectively populations.<sup>11</sup> The population of  $\gamma^+$ rotamer is found to be 96% and is independent of the assignment of H5' and H5". The conformation around the glycosidic bond  $(\chi)$  in 4b was considered (Figure 2) on the basis of  $d_{6,1'} = 2.2$  Å and  $d_{6,2'} = 3.4$  Å measured in the NOESY spectrum which suggest a  $\chi$  [O<sub>4</sub>'-C<sub>1</sub>-N<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>] = **Oo (syn** conformation).

**Assignment of Configurations and Conformations of Diastereomeric Bicyclic Nucleosides** 2b **and 3.** The  ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}}$  of compounds 2b and 3 and their corresponding proton-proton torsion angles obtained through analysis by the Karplus-Altona equation (1) are presented in Table I. Since compound 4b was obtained from 2b and during this transformation no change in configuration at  $C_{2'}$  and  $C_8$  is likely, we have assumed  $C_2$ -R (i.e., H2' "up") and *Ca-R* (i.e., -0Et "down") configuration in our conformational study of 2b. The values of  $\Phi_{1',2'}$  obtained from  $J_{1',2'}$ established the south conformation of the pentofuranose ring (Table 111). The large coupling constant of 10.3 Hz was observed between H2' and one of the protons at  $C_7$ **(H7',** H7"). **As** shown in Table I, this value can fit either a cisoid or a transoid arrangement of two coupled protons.

Table II. Various Stereoisomers and Conformers of Compound 4b with Different Possible Configurations at  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_{12}$ 

conformational param (deg) and dist $(A)$	distances from NOE $ext{ext}$	conformer 1 $C_{2}-R$ , $C_{3}-S$ , $C_8$ -R, $C_{12}$ -S	conformer 2 $C_{2}-R$ , $C_{3}-S$ , $C_8$ -R, $C_{12}$ -S	isomer 3 $C_{2'}-R$ , $C_{3'}-S$ , $C_8 R$ , $C_{12} R$	isomer 4 $C_{2}-R$ , $C_{3}-S$ , $C_8$ -S, $C_{12}$ -S	isomer 5 $C_{2'}R, C_{3'}R,$ $C_8$ -R, $C_{12}$ -S
$\Phi_{1'2'}$		167	185	162	188	167
P		161	151	90	151	175
$\psi_{\rm m}$		40	45	45	47	54
$\Phi_{2',7'}$		44	43	39 <sup>b</sup>	37 <sup>b</sup>	38 <sup>b</sup>
$\Phi_{2',7''}$		$-77$	$-78$	-83	$-85$	$-83$
$\Phi_{7',8}$		$-43$	$-47$	-45	$-51$	$-44$
$\Phi_{7'',8}$		81	81	80	76	81
$\Phi_{12,13'}$		-88	$-88$	$-80$	$-80$	
$\Phi_{12,13''}$		33	34	36	39	
P <sup>a</sup>		188	186	-47	146	
$\psi_{\rm m}{}^a$		40	39	47	37	
$d_{17'}$		3.8	3.9	3.7	3.9	4.0
$d_{1',7''}$	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.6	3.0
$d_{5'',13'}$	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	4.3 <sup>b</sup>
$d_{5^{\prime \prime},13^{\prime \prime}}$		3.7	3.9	3.7	3.8	4.9
$d_{7',13'}$		3.8	3.7	4.8	4.4	4.1
$d_{7',13''}$	2.3	2.5	2.5	4.2 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.0 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> P and  $\psi_{\rm m}$  for isoxazolidine ring were calculated assuming the following definitions of endocyclic torsions:  $\tau_0$  [N10-011-C12-C13],  $\tau_1$  $[O11-C12-C13-C3']$ ,  $\tau_2$   $[C12-C13-C3'-N10]$ ,  $\tau_3$   $[C13-C3'-N10-O11]$ ,  $\tau_4$   $[C3'-N10-O11-C12]$ .  $\circ$  Denotes at least small discrepancy with NMR derived torsion angles or proton-proton distances. Note that both conformers 1 and 2 are in agreement with *@H,H* obtained from vicinal *JH,H*  and distance information based on the NOESY experiment. The conformer 1 with C<sub>2</sub>-R, C<sub>3</sub>-S, C<sub>8</sub>-R, and C<sub>12</sub>-S configurations is presented in Figure **2a.** 

If the cisoid arrangement is considered the mutually consistent values of  $\Phi_{2'7'}$  and  $\Phi_{2',7''}$  are 5° and -115° respectively (Table III). Note that the upfield H7" showed NOE enhancement in the 1D NOE difference spectrum (Figure l), whereas no enhancement was observed for downfield H7'. The values of  $d_{177'} = 3.6$  Å and  $d_{177''} = 2.4$ **A** (Table 111) are in agreement with the fact that the downfield H7' shows a larger  $J_{27}$  but is not in close proximity to Hl'. This agreement cannot be fulfilled if the coupling constant of 10.3 Hz is attributed to transoid arrangement of **H2'** with respect to H7' or H7" (Le., the assignment of H7' and H7" is reversed). In the computer model, the proton with the larger coupling constant to H2'  $(J = 10.3 \text{ Hz})$  is closer to H1'  $(2.5 \text{ Å})$  than the proton with the smaller coupling constant  $(J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, d = 3.1 \text{ Hz})$ **A),** which is not observed through 1D 'H difference NOE measurements (Figure 1). The six-membered ring of **2b**  is in the half-chair/half-boat conformation (Table 111, Figure **2).** 

In compound 3, the H7" is more upfield than H7' and shows NOE enhancement upon saturation of H1' and a large  $J_{277}$  of 13.1 Hz. The analysis by Karplus-Altona equation (1) showed that  $J_{2',7''}$  can only be attributed to trans orientation of the coupled protons. In fact, the largest *J* that was obtained by the use of eq **1** with consideration of all four substituent electronegativities at  $\Phi_{2'}.7'' = 180^{\circ}$ was 12.4 Hz (if  $\Phi_{277''} = 0^{\circ}$  predicted  $J_{27''} = 10.4$  Hz). The six-membered ring of compound 3 is in the half-chair/ half-boat conformation (Table III, Figure 2).

## **Experimental Section**

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were (in  $\delta$  scale) recorded at 90, 270, and 500 MHz using TMS (0.0 ppm). 13C-NMR were recorded at 67.5 MHz using both 1H-coupled and lH-decoupled or **INEPT** modes. TLC was carried out using Merck precoated silica gel *F254* plates. The column chromatographic separations were carried out using Merck G60 silica gel.

Reaction of **5'-0-(4-Monomethoxytrityl)-3'-nitro-2',3'-didedeoxy-2',3'-didehydrothymidine** (la) with Ethyl Vinyl Ether. Compound 1a (230 mg, 0.442 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL) was treated with ethyl vinyl ether (4 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the mixture was separated on a silica gel column in hexane-ethyl acetate to give

**4a** (136 mg, 47%), 2a (68 mg, 27%), **3** (30 mg, 12%), and initial la (18 mg, **8%).** Compound 4a. 'H-NMR (CDC13) 6: 8.43 (brs, 1 H), NH; 7.90 **(q,** *J=* 1.1 Hz, 1 H) H-6; 7.43-7.21 (m, 12 H) arom; 6.95 (d,  $J_{1',2'} = 9.4$  Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 6.84 (m, 2 H) arom; 5.47 (d,  $J_{12,13''}$  $= 6.5$  Hz, 1 H) H-12; 4.96 (d,  $J_{7.8} = 4.5$  Hz, 1 H) H-8; 4.11 (m, 1 H), H-4'; 3.99 **(m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.84 (dd,** *J<sub>4</sub>***,** $5' = 2.9$  **Hz,**  $J_{5'5''}$ **<br>= 11.3 Hz, 1 H) H-5'; 3.79 (s, 3 H) OCH<sub>3</sub>; 3.59 (dd,** *J<sub>4,5'</sub>* **= 1.5 Hz,** 1 H) H-5"; 3.52 (m, 1 H)  $OCH_2CH_3$ ; 3.25 (m, 1 H)  $OCH_2CH_3$ ; 3.25  $(m, 1 H)$ , OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 2.84  $(m, 1 H)$  H-2'; 2.68 (dd,  $J_{13',13''} = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H) H-13"; 1.99 (d, 1 H) H-13'; 1.95 (ddd, *J<sub>7',7"</sub>* = 14.8 Hz, *J2/,7'* = *5.5* Hz, 1 H) H-7'; 1.75 (dd, *J2',7"* = 1.1 Hz, 1 H) H-7"; 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 **H)** OCHzCH,; 1.17 (d, 3 H) 5-CH3; 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ*: 163.7 (s) C-4; 150.5 (s) C-2; 135.9 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 178.7 Hz) C-6; 111.4 (s) C-5; 108.6 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}}$ (9) C-2; 135.9 (d, *JCH* = 178.7 Hz) (2-6; 111.4 **(8)** C-5; 108.6 **(d,** *JCH* = 176.0 Hz) C-12; 98.4 (d, *JCH* = 169.5 Hz) C-8; 86.2 (d, *JCH* <sup>=</sup> 178.7 Hz) C-1'; 82.7 (d, *JCH* = 150.3 Hz) (2-4'; 77.6 **(8)** C-3'; 65.0 (t, *JCH* = 142.5 Hz) OCHzCH,; 63.3 **(t,** *JCH* = 145.2 Hz) C-5'; 62.9 (t, *JCH* = 143.0 Hz) OCHzCH,; *55.0* **(9,** *JCH* = 143.9 Hz) OCH,; 42.1 (d, *JCH* = 130.1 Hz) C-2'; 33.3 (t, *JCH* = 135.2 Hz) C-13; 22.4 (t, *JCH* = 129.2 Hz) C-7; 15.0 **(9,** *JCH* = 126.5 Hz) OCH2CH3; 14.6 **(4,** *JCH* = 126.8 Hz) OCH2CH3; 10.9 **(9,** *JCH* = 129.22 Hz) 5-CH3. MS (FAB-1: calcd for (M - H)- 684.2921 for **4a,** found 684.2935. Compound 2a. 'H-NMR (CDCl3) *6:* 8.61 (bra, 1 H) NH; 7.67 (q, 1 H) H-6; 7.39-7.21 (m, 12 H) arom; 6.86 (m, 2 H) arom; 6.18 (d,  $(m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.80 (dd,  $J_{5,5} = 10.9$  Hz, 1 H) H-5'; 3.78 (s,$ 3 H) OCH<sub>3</sub>; 3.72 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.42 (dd, 1 H) H-5"; 3.18 (m,  $J_{2,4'} = 2.6$  Hz, 1 H) H-2'; 2.50 (ddd,  $J_{7,7''} = 14.5$  Hz,  $J_{2',7'} =$  $J_{1',2'} = 8.2$  Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 5.49 **(dd,**  $J_{7'',8} = 0.8$  **Hz,**  $J_{7',8} = 4.8$  **Hz,** 1 H) H-8; 4.90 (dd, *J4,,5,* = 1.8 Hz, *J4,,5"* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H) H-4'; 4.01 10.2 Hz, 1 H) H-7'; 2.26 (ddd,  $J_{277''}$  = 3.2 Hz, 1 H) H-7''; 1.40 (d,  $J = 1.2$  Hz, 3 H) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>; 1.24 **(t,**  $J = 7.0$  **Hz, 3 H)** OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 163.6 **(s)** C-4; 150.5 **(s)** C-2; 134.6 **(d,**  $J_{\text{CH}}$  $= 185.1 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-6; 112.1 (s) C-5; 101.5 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 174.1 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-8; 87.2 **(8)** Ph&; 86.7 (d, *JCH* = 178.7 Hz) C-1'; 76.4 (d, *JCH* = 156.7 Hz) C-4'; 65.5 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 144.3 \text{ Hz}$ ) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 62.5 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 145.7 \text{ Hz}$ )  $C$ -5'; 55.0 **(q,**  $J_{CH}$  = 143.9 Hz) OCH<sub>3</sub>; 39.3 **(d,**  $J_{CH}$  = 140.2 Hz) C-2'; 28.2 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 133.3 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-7; 14.6 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 126.8 \text{ Hz}$ ) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 11.4  $(**q**, **J**<sub>CH</sub> = 129.5 Hz) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>$ . MS (FAB-): calcd for  $(**M** - **H**)$ <sup>-</sup> 612.2346 for **28,** found 612.2380, Compound 3. **lH-NMR** (CDCb) 6 8.40 (bra, 1 H) NH; 7.67 **(q,** *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1 H) H-6; 7.41-7.22 (m, 12 H) arom; 6.86 (m, 2 H) arom; 6.18 (d, *J1,,2,* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H) H-1';  $= 1.7$  Hz,  $J_{4,5}$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> = 1.5 Hz, 1 H) H-4'; 4.11 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.80 (dd, *J5',5"* = 10.6 Hz, 1 H) H-5'; 3.80 (s,3 H) OCH,; 3.76 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.18 (m,  $J_{2',4'}$  = 1.4 Hz, 1 H) H-2'; 3.42 (dd, 1 H) H-5"; 5.60 (dd,  $J_{\gamma,8}$  = 2.2 Hz,  $J_{\gamma',8}$  = 2.5 Hz, 1 H) H-8; 4.90 (dd,  $J_{4',5'}$ 2.43 (ddd,  $J_{7'$ , $T''$  = 13.1 Hz,  $J_{2'$ , $T''}$  = 6.3 Hz, 1 H) H-7'; 1.97 (ddd,  $J_{7,7''} = J_{2',7''} = 13.1$  Hz, 1 H) H-7"; 1.29 (d, 3 H) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>; 1.12 (t,  $J = 7.1, 3$  **H**)  $OCH_2CH_3$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ*: 163.2 **(s)** C-2;



**Figure 2.** Conformations of **4b** (panel a), **2b** (panel b), and **3**  (panel c) were obtained through energy minimization (the generalized AMBER force field parameters **as** implemented in the computer program MacroModel V3.5a were used) with constraints on  $\Phi_{H,H}$  to build structures that fulfill all torsional angles derived from  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  (ref 16). The configurations at four chiral centers in **4b** (panel a) were established as  $C_2-R$ ,  $C_3-S$ ;  $C_8-R$ ,  $C_{12}$ -S through the use of distance information derived from NOESY experiments. The pentofuranose ring in **4b** is in the south conformation  $(P = 161^{\circ}, \Psi_m = 40^{\circ})$ , the six-membered ring adopts a <sup>09</sup>C<sub>C2</sub><sup></sup> chair conformation  $(\Phi_{2',7'} = 44^{\circ}, \Phi_{2',7''} = -77^{\circ}, \Phi_{7,8})$  $= -43^{\circ}, \Phi_{7'',8} = 81^{\circ}$  (panel a). The  $P = 188^{\circ}$  and  $\Psi_{\rm m} = 40^{\circ}$  for the isoxazoline ring in **4b** were calculated assuming the following definitions of endocyclic torsion angles:  $\tau_0$  [N<sub>10</sub>-O<sub>11</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>13</sub>],  $\tau_1$  $\tau_4$  [C<sub>3</sub>-N<sub>10</sub>-O<sub>11</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>] (Figure 2a). The distances  $d_{17,7''} = 2.8$  Å,  $d_{5'',13'} = 2.4$  Å and  $d_{7',13''} = 2.5$  Å in the model of 4b presented in Figure 2a are in close agreement with the experimentally determined values ( $d_{1',7''} = 3.0$  Å,  $d_{5'',13'} = 2.4$  Å and  $d_{7',13''} = 2.3$ **A).** Since the compound **2b** yields **4b** and during this transformation no change in configuration at C2' and C8 is likely, we have assumed  $C2'$ -R and  $C8$ -R configuration in our conformational study of **2b.** The pentofuranose ring in **2b** is in the south conformation  $(P = 142^{\circ}, \Psi_m = 34^{\circ})$ , while the six-membered ring adopts the half-chair/half-boat conformation **as** shown in Figure 2b. In compound **3** (C2'-R, C8-S) the sugar ring is in the south conformation ( $P = 140^{\circ}$ ,  $\Psi_m = 33^{\circ}$ ) and the six-membered ring is in the half-chair/half-boat conformation **as** shown in Figure 2c.  ${\rm [O_{11}\!\!-\!\!C_{12}\!\!-\!\!C_{13}\!\!-\!\!C_{3'}],\tau_2\,\rm [C_{12}\!\!-\!\!C_{13}\!\!-\!\!C_{3}\!\!-\!\!N_{10}],\tau_3\,\rm [C_{13}\!\!-\!\!C_{3}\!\!-\!\!N_{10}\!\!-\!\!O_{11}], and}$ 

158.8 *(s)* C-4; 134.9 *(d,*  $J_{CH}$  *= 183.3 Hz)* C-6; 112.2 *(s)* C-5; 101.6  $(d, J<sub>CH</sub> = 172.2 \text{ Hz}) \text{ C-8}$ ; 87.4 **(8)**  $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}$ ; 86.4 **(d,**  $J<sub>CH</sub> = 174.1 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-1'; 77.0 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 154.0 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-4'; 65.1 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 142.9 \text{ Hz}$ ) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 62.4 **(t,**  $J_{\text{CH}} = 146.6 \text{ Hz}$ **) C-5'; 55.1 <b>(q,**  $J_{\text{CH}} = 143.6 \text{ Hz}$ **)** OCH<sub>3</sub>; 38.6 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 137.5 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-2'; 25.1 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 134.7 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-7; 14.9 **(q,**  $J_{CH} = 126.5$  **Hz)** OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 11.3 **(q,**  $J_{CH} = 129.5$  Hz) 5-CH3. MS (FAB-): calcd for (M - H)- 612.2346 for **3,** found 612.2338.

**Reaction of 3'-Nitro-2',3'-dideoxy-2',3'-didehydrothymidine (lb) with Ethyl Vinyl Ether.** Compound **lb** (174 mg, 0.646 mmol) was treated in EtOH (2 mL) with ethyl vinyl ether (4 mL) at **rt** for 24 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the mixture was separated on a silica gel column using  $CH_2Cl_2-$ EtOH to give **4b** (114 mg, 43%), **2b (88** mg, 40%), and initial **lb** 

(17 mg, 10%). Compound **4b.** lH-NMR (CDCl,) **6: 8.88** (brs, 1 H) NH; 7.12 **(q,**  $J = 0.8$  **Hz, 1 H)** H-6; 6.16 **(d,**  $J_{1/2} = 9.6$  **Hz,** 1 H) H-1'; 5.69 (d,  $J_{12,13''}$  = 6.5 Hz, 1 H) H-12; 4.95 (d,  $J_{7,8}$  = 4.5 Hz, 1 H) H-8; 4.01 (dd,  $J_{4',5'} = 1.8$  Hz,  $J_{5',5''} = 10.9$  Hz, 1 Hz) H-5'; 4.06 (m, 1 H) H-4'; 4.0 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.91 (dd,  $J_{4'5''} = 2.2$ Hz, 1 H) H-5"; 3.78 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.55 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.51 (m, 1 H)  $OCH_2CH_3$ ; 3.39 (m, 1 H) H-2'; 3.75 (dd,  $J_{13',13''}$  = 13.6 Hz, 1 H) H-13"; 2.47 (d, 1 H) H-13'; 1.93 (ddd,  $J_{\gamma,\gamma''}=15.0$ Hz,  $J_{2,7} = 5.9$  Hz, 1 H) H-7'; 1.93 (d, 3 H) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>; 1.57 (dd,  $J_{2,7}$ , = 1.1 Hz, 1 H) H-7''; 1.29 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 1.19 (t, J <sup>=</sup>7.1 Hz, 3 H) OCHzCH3. W-NMR (CDC13) **6:** 163.8 *(8)* C-4; 150.6 **(s)** C-2; 140.2 **(d,**  $J_{CH}$  **= 179.6 Hz)** C-6; 111.0 **(s)** C-5; 108.9 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 176.0 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-12; 98.8 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 168.6 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-8; 94.3 (d,  $J_{CH} = 171.4 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-1'; 84.0 (d,  $J_{CH} = 152.1 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-4'; 77.6 (s) C-3';  $65.2$  (t,  $J_{CH} = 143.0$  Hz) C-5'; 62.9 (t,  $J_{CH} = 143.0$  Hz) OCH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 62.6 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 144.8 Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 38.6 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 138.4 Hz) C-2<sup>'</sup>; 33.1 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 136.1 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-13; 22.6 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 129.2 \text{ Hz}$ ) C-7; 15.0  $(q, J<sub>CH</sub> = 126.5 Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 14.8 (q, J<sub>CH</sub> = 126.5 Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>;$ 12.3 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 129.2 \text{ Hz}$ ) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>. MS (FAB)<sup>-</sup>: calcd for  $(M - H)^{-}$ 412.1720 for **4b,** found 412.1736. Compound **2b.** 'H-NMR (CDCl, 4.85 (m, 1 H) H-4'; 4.04 (dd,  $J_{4',5'} = 1.8$  Hz,  $J_{5',5''} = 12.3$  Hz, 1 H)  $H-5'$ ; 3.99 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.95 (dd,  $J_{4',5''} = 2.2$  Hz, 1 H) H-5"; 3.71 (m, 1 H) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 3.10 (m,  $J_{2',4'} = 2.2$  Hz, 1 H) H-2'; 2.38  $+$  CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$ : 7.76 **(q, J** = 1.2 Hz, 1 H) H-6; 6.06 **(d, J**<sub>1',2'</sub> = 8.6 Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 5.46 (dd,  $J_{\gamma^{\prime},8} = 1.5$  Hz,  $J_{\gamma^{\prime},8} = 4.9$  Hz, 1 H) H-8; (ddd,  $J_{2',7''} = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_{7',7''} = 14.7$  Hz, 1 H) H-7"; 2.13 (ddd,  $J_{2',7'}$  $=$  10.3 Hz, 1 H) H-7'; 1.94 (d, 3 H) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>; 1.25 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> + CD<sub>3</sub>OD) *δ*: 135.2 (d, J<sub>CH</sub> = 179.6<br>Hz) C-6; 111.5 (s) C-5; 101.5 (d, J<sub>CH</sub> = 173.2 Hz) C-8; 86.3 (d, J<sub>CH</sub> Hz) C-6; 111.5 (s) C-5; 101.5 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 173.2$  Hz) C-8; 86.3 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 171.4$  Hz) C-1'; 77.6 (d,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 151.2$  Hz) C-4'; 65.2 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} =$  $-111.4$  Hz) O-I,  $11.0$  (d,  $v_{CH} = 151.2$  Hz) O-4,  $0.52$  (t,  $v_{CH} = 144.3$  Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 59.9 (t,  $J_{CH} = 144.3$  Hz) C-5'; 38.6 (d,  $J_{CH} = 140.2$  Hz) C-2'; 27.3 (t,  $J_{CH} = 132.9$  Hz) C-7; 14.1 (q,  $J_{CH} = 127.1$ = 140.2 Hz) C-2<sup>7</sup>; 27.3 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 132.9 Hz) C-7; 14.1 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 127.1 Hz) OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 11.6 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}}$  = 130.4 Hz) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>. MS (FAB-): calcd for (M - H)- 340.1145 for **2b,** found 340.1149.

**Conversion of Tricyclic Nucleoside 4a to** 11. Compound **4a** (60 mg, 0.09 mmol) was treated with 90% aqueous acetic acid (2 mL) at 40 **"C** for 3 h. The volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in water (5 mL), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue (30 mg) was dissolved in EtOH (3 mL) and treated with NaBH<sub>4</sub> (40 mg, 1.3 mmol) at  $0 °C$  for 30 min, acetic acid (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was evaporated to dissolved in pyridine  $(5 \text{ mL})$  and treated with acetic anhydride (1 mL) at rt for 2 h. The reaction mixture was poured slowly with stirring into ice-water, and the product was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2 (2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The organic phase was washed with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated. The residue was separated on a silica gel column in  $CH_2Cl_2-EtOH$  (9.5:0.5, v/v) to give compound 11 (25 mg, 59%), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 9.07 (brs, 1 H) NH; 7.25 (q,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 1 H) H-6; 5.87 (d,  $J_{1/2} = 6.3$ Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 4.40-4.34 (m, 3 H) H-4',  $CH_2CH_2OAc$ ; 4.23-4.12  $(m, 4 H) H-5', H-5'', CH_2CH_2OAc; 3.00 (ddd, J_{2',7'} = 8.7 Hz, J_{2',7''}$  $= 3.4$  Hz, 1 H) H-2'; 2.78-2.73 (m, 2 H) H-7', H-7''; 2.33-2.25 (m, 1.98-1.93 (m, 1 H) H-13"; 1.96 (d, 3 H) 5-CH3. 13C-NMR 6: 163.2 1 H) H-13'; 2.28 *(8,* 3 H) Ac; 2.09 *(8,* 3 H) Ac; 2.06 **(e,** 3 H) Ac; *(8)* C-4; 150.2 **(8)** (2-2; 134.5 (d, JCH <sup>=</sup>179.5 Hz) (2-6; 111.5 **(8)** C-5; 91.0 (d,  $J_{CH}$  = 167.7 Hz) C-1'; 82.8 (d,  $J_{CH}$  = 149.4 Hz) C-4'; 71.0 (t,  $J_{CH} = 150.3$  Hz) C-5'; 62.2 (t,  $J_{CH} = 148.5$  Hz) CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OAc;  $59.3$  (t,  $J_{CH} = 149.4$  Hz)  $CH_2CH_2OAc$ ; 45.2 (d,  $J_{CH} = 136.4$  Hz) C-2'; 29.9 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 127.8 \text{ Hz}$ ) CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OAc; 28.8 (t,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 127.4 \text{ Hz}$ ) CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OAc; 20.7 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}} = 129.8 \text{ Hz}$ ) Ac; 20.6 (q,  $J_{\text{CH}} =$ Hz) CHzCHzOAc; 20.7 **(9,** JCH <sup>=</sup>129.8 Hz) Ac; 20.6 **(9,** JCH <sup>=</sup>130.1 **Hz)** Ac; 17.9 **(4,** JCH <sup>=</sup>131.7 Hz) Ac; 12.4 **(q,** JCH <sup>=</sup>129.2 Hz) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>. IR: 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-NO<sub>2</sub>). MS (FAB-): calcd for (M-<br>Hz) 5-CH<sub>3</sub>. IR: 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-NO<sub>2</sub>). MS (FAB-): calcd for (M-<br>H)-484.1567 for 11, found (M-H<sub>3</sub>O)-466.1437, (M-CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)-424.1339.

**Conversion of Bicyclic Nucleoside 2a toa Diastereomeric**  converted to a mixture of 7 and 8 using a reaction condition described for **4a** to give the mixture of compounds **7** and **8** (32 mg,  $62\%$ ). NMR showed this to be an inseparable mixture  $(2:1)$ of the rib0 and xylo isomers. Compound **7.** 'H-NMR (CDC13)  $\delta$ : 8.97 (brs, 1 H) NH; 7.55 **(q,**  $J = 1.2$  **Hz)** H-6; 5.98 **(d,**  $J_{1/2} =$ 6.7 Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 5.12 (dd,  $J_{2',3'} = 3.4$  Hz,  $J_{3',4'} = 5.9$  Hz, 1 H) H-3'; 4.44 (ddd,  $J_{4',5'} = 1.0$  Hz,  $J_{4',5''} = 4.3$  Hz, 1 H) H-4'; 4.37-4.17 (m, 4 H) H-5', H-5", CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OAc; 3.12 (m,  $J_{2',7'} = 5.1$  Hz,  $J_{2',7'} = 9.7$  Hz, 1 H) H-2'; 2.16–2.04 (m, 2 H), H-7', H-7"; 2.10 (s, 3 H)



*<sup>a</sup>*The conformations of 2b and 3 with *C2r-R* and *Ca-R* configurations are presented in Figure 2b,c.

Ac; 2.02 (s,3 H) Ac; 2.00 (d, 3 H) 5-CH3. Compound 8. 'H-NMR  $(CDCI<sub>3</sub>)$   $\delta$ : 8.88 (brs, 1 H) NH; 7.19 **(q,** *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1 H) H-6; 6.28  $(d, J_{1,2}) = 9.3$  Hz, 1 H) H-1'; 5.20  $(d\tilde{d}, J_{2,3}) = 7.3$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H) H-3'; 4.77 (dt,  $J_{4'5'} = J_{4'5''} = 3.9$  Hz, 1 H) H-4'; 4.44 (dd,  $J_{5/5}$ " = 12.9 Hz, 1 H) H-5<sup>2</sup>; 4.31 (dd, 1 H) H-5<sup>2</sup>; 4.12-4.00 (m, 2) H) CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OAc; 2.75 (ddd,  $J_{2'7'} = 7.2$  Hz,  $J_{2'7''} = 14.6$  Hz, 1 H) H-2': 2.04 **(s.** 3 H) Ac: 2.17 **(8,** 3 H) Ac: 1.87-1.80 (m, 2 H) H-7',  $H-7''$ ; 1.95  $(d, 3 H)$  5-CH<sub>3</sub>. IR (Nujol): 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-NO<sub>2</sub>). MS (FAB-): calcd for (M - H)- 398.1200 for **7/8,** found 398.1218.

Conversion of Bicyclic Nucleoside 3 to a Diastereomeric Mixture of **7** and 8. Compound 3 (50 mg, 0.08 mmol) was subjected to the reaction conditions described for 4a to give a mixture of **7** and 8 (2:l mixture (NMR), 17 mg, 53%).

Conversion of Bicyclic Nucleoside 2a to a Tricyclic Nucleoside 4a. Compound 2a (15 mg, 0.025 mmol) was treated in dichloromethane (0.5 mL) with ethyl vinyl ether (1 mL) at room temperature for 48 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the substance was separated on a silica gel column using a linear gradient of  $0-20\%$  of ethyl acetate in hexane to give 4a (15 mg, 89%).

Conversion of Bicyclic Nucleoside 2b to a Tricyclic Nucleoside 4b. Compound 2b (13 mg, 0.038 mmol) in ethanol (0.5 mL) was treated with ethyl vinyl ether (1 mL) at room temperature for 48 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product was separated on a silica gel column using a linear gradient of  $0-20\%$  of ethyl acetate in hexane to give  $4\overline{b}$  (12 mg, 76%).

Acknowledgment. We thank the Swedish Board for Technical Development (NUTEK) and Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR), Medivir AB, Huddinge, and Wallenbergstiftelsen for generous financial support. We also thank Dr. A. Sandström, Mr. N. Puri, and Mr. B. Rousse for recording high-resolution **mass** spectral data.

Supplementary Material Available: COSY, NOESY, and **I3C-'H** correlation spectra (6 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.